

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/993,048	11/13/2001	Lynn P. Nelles	AFB-SNF-1	8133	
29369	7590 07/05/2006		EXAMINER		
PATRICK I	D. KELLY CHESTER #403	WONG, LESLIE A			
ST. LOUIS,	••		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
 ,			1761		
			DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/993,048	NELLES ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	1	Leslie Wong	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication appea	ars on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of t - If NO period for reply is specified above, the ma: - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.3	FHE MAILING DAT rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(his communication. cimum statutory period will for reply will, by statute, camonths after the mailing date.	E OF THIS COMMUN a). In no event, however, may a apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
 Responsive to communication This action is FINAL. Since this application is in corclosed in accordance with the 	2b)⊠ This andition for allowance	ction is non-final. e except for formal ma	•	e merits is		
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 7,10,24-28,30 and 3. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6)⊠ Claim(s) 7,10,24-28,30 and 3. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to	is/are withdrawn 2 <u>-35</u> is/are rejected d to.	from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that ar Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11) The oath or declaration is obje	is/are: a) accep ny objection to the dra cluding the correction	awing(s) be held in abeya n is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	* *		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)		∆ □ :	Summon (DTO 442)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Residual Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/993,048

Art Unit: 1761

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 13, 2006 has been entered.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 10, and 24-28, 30, and 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zheng et al (US 6495184) in view of Boudreau et al (US Patent No. 4,267,195) and Paluch (US Patent No. 6,312,746)

Zheng et al disclose a flavoring obtained by heating (65-95° and 100-160°C) an oil/fat and a sulfur-containing amino acid, such as cysteine (see entire patent, especially claims 1-3 and 10).

The claims differ as to the presence of a second palatability enhancer and the specific use of the product in an animal food.

Boudreau et al disclose it is well known that cysteine (nitrogen and sulfur containing) serves to increase palatability for dogs (see entire document, especially the abstract).

Application/Control Number: 09/993,048

Art Unit: 1761

Paluch discloses conventional pet food components including hydrolyzed meat protein (see entire patent, especially Table 2).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the flavorant of Zheng et al in an animal food product because the use of nitrogen/sulfur containing compounds in pet foods is conventional in the art.

Applicant is using known components to obtain expected results. All of the claimed components are well-known in the art and are used for their art-recognized function. Applicant's claims are directed to product claims and as such do not exclude process limitations of the prior art.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7, 10, and 24-28, 30, and 32-35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The declarations under 37 CFR 1.132 filed June 13, 2006 and January 20, 2006 are insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 7, 10, and 24-28, 30, and 32-35 based upon 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as set forth in the last Office action for the following reason. The declarations do not specifically compare to the art relied upon.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leslie Wong
Primary Exami

Primary Examiner

Leslie Wong

Art Unit 1761

LAW June 26, 2006